

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**To:** [Pierson, John C CIV OASN \(EI&E\), ODASN \(Environment\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] National Monument review  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 10, 2017 2:43:36 PM

---

Yes, that's probably easiest for this one. I'll forward you the agenda and attachments.

Call-in: (b) (5) CIP; passcode: (b) (5) CIP

On Wed, May 10, 2017 at 3:28 PM, Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment) <[john.c.pierson@navy.mil](mailto:john.c.pierson@navy.mil)> wrote:

Randal

I got the go ahead so I will figure out how to connect.

Can I call into the meeting?

---

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 10, 2017 1:07:34 PM  
**To:** Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] National Monument review

sorry for the delay, (b) (5) CIP - meeting is at 10 am, but we can hook you into the process and meet everyone next week as well. I'll send you in agenda and background info later this afternoon in any case

On Wed, May 10, 2017 at 11:37 AM, Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment) <[john.c.pierson@navy.mil](mailto:john.c.pierson@navy.mil)><<mailto:john.c.pierson@navy.mil>>> wrote:  
I need to talk with my boss, first. What time is the meeting?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bowman, Randal [[mailto:randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)<[mailto:randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 10, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] National Monument review

Great, thanks. I'm on leave today and out of touch momentarily for a few hours, but could you come to a review team meeting tomorrow at DOI?

On Wed, May 10, 2017 at 10:03 AM, Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment) <[john.c.pierson@navy.mil](mailto:john.c.pierson@navy.mil)><<mailto:john.c.pierson@navy.mil>>> wrote:

Randal,

Yes - DoD (Department of the Navy) is working to support/comment on this effort.

I haven't shared your email with anyone within DoD, partly because I want to talk with you first and also because I need to work this with the DoD Secretariat staff but they haven't determine who within the DoD secretariat are going to support this effort.

So DON is working with US Navy and USMC to collect specific details as I work on how we get DOI our comments. I can share that DON has already identified some monuments we see as very positive and supportive of DoD's mission. They can provide a positive buffer effect next to our installations. I also suspect we might have comments detailing how third parties have used monument designation against DoD, so we want to continue to work very closely with DOI as future monument designation language is crafted, or changes considered to existing monuments.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bowman, Randal [mailto:[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)]  
<mailto:[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> <mailto:[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>  
<mailto:[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>> ]

Sent: Tuesday, May 09, 2017 11:41 AM

To: Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment)

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] National Monument review

John, I got your contact info from Liza Johnson. I am in the same overall office and used to do a lot of National Ocean Policy Work until changing to Arctic issues several years ago. I am now on detail to the Deputy Secretary's office staffing the monument review process.

Could you check on whether the Navy, or other elements of DOD, wants to participate in the review process?

DOI is the lead for the terrestrial monuments, and will be working with NOAA on the marine ones, details on that still being worked on.

I would appreciate your not widely circulating my email address, as I am trying to keep from being swamped by comments or questions from the public.